

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

11 *In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litigation*

Case No. 13-md-02420-YGR

MDL No. 2420

12  
13 This Document Relates To:

14 ALL ACTIONS  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PANASONIC CORP. OF NORTH  
AMERICA'S AND SANYO NORTH  
AMERICA CORP.'S INDIVIDUAL  
MOTION TO DISMISS**

1 Defendants Panasonic Corporation of North America's and SANYO North America  
2 Corporation's Individual Motion to Dismiss came on regularly for hearing before the Court.  
3 Having considered all papers filed in support of and in opposition to the said motion and having  
4 entertained argument of counsel, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that  
5 Panasonic Corporation of North America's and SANYO North America Corporation's Individual  
6 Motion to Dismiss GRANTED as set forth below.

7 Both the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint and the Indirect  
8 Purchaser Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint are DISMISSED with prejudice as against  
9 Panasonic Corporation of North America and SANYO North America Corporation, pursuant to  
10 Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a) and 12(b)(6), on the following grounds:

11 1. The Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint and the Indirect  
12 Purchaser Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint have failed to plead plausible causes of  
13 action against Panasonic Corporation of North America and SANYO North America Corporation  
14 under the standard set by *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544 (2007).

15  
16  
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 Date: \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_

19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28